

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
2 A Limited Liability Partnership  
3 Including Professional Corporations  
4 JOY SIU, Nevada Bar No. 15095  
5 1584 Villa Rica Drive  
6 Henderson, NV 89052  
7 Telephone: 415.774.3108  
8 E mail: jsiu@sheppardmullin.com

9 PATRICIA M. JENG, Cal. Bar No. 272262  
10 (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
11 SAMI HASAN, Cal. Bar No. 272333  
12 (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
13 SHAYLA M. GRIFFIN, Cal. Bar No. 339821  
14 (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
15 Four Embarcadero Center, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
16 San Francisco, California 94111-4109  
17 Telephone: 415.434.9100  
18 E mail: pjeng@sheppardmullin.com  
19 shasan@sheppardmullin.com  
20 smgriffin@sheppardmullin.com

21 Attorneys for Defendant  
22 REGIS CORPORATION

23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
24 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

25 ANGELETTA PAIGE, an individual, on  
26 behalf of herself and all others similarly  
27 situated,

28 Plaintiff,

v.

ARCNV, INC. d/b/a ARCCO  
ENTERPRISES; REGIS  
CORPORATION d/b/a  
SMARTSTYLES HAIR SALONS and  
DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case Number  
2:25-cv-00954- CDS-DJA

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
DEFENDANT REGIS  
CORPORATION'S DEADLINE TO  
RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

**(FIRST REQUEST)**

Judge: Hon. Cristina D. Silva  
Complaint Filed: May 30, 2025

1 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A), Plaintiff Angeletta Paige (“Plaintiff”) by and through  
2 her counsel of record, RAFII & ASSOCIATES, P.C., and Defendant REGIS CORPORATION d/b/a  
3 SMARTSTYLES HAIR SALONS (“Defendant” or “Regis”) by and through its counsel of record,  
4 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP jointly submit and stipulate that Defendant  
5 shall have an extension up to and including **October 27, 2025**, in which to file a response to Plaintiff’s  
6 First Amended Complaint. This Stipulation is submitted and based on the following.

7 **WHEREAS**, on or about May 30, 2025, Plaintiff filed her original Complaint.

8 **WHEREAS**, on or about July 8, 2025, Regis timely answered Plaintiff’s Complaint.

9 **WHEREAS**, on or about September 22, 2025, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint to  
10 include additional claims against Regis;

11 **WHEREAS**, counsel for Regis and Plaintiff have met and conferred, and have agreed to  
12 continue to meet and confer, regarding whether Regis is an appropriately named defendant and  
13 employer of Plaintiff;

14 **WHEREAS**, in order to allow the Parties to continue their discussions regarding a potential  
15 dismissal of Regis, the Parties have agreed to extend the deadline for Regis to respond to Plaintiff’s  
16 First Amended Complaint to October 27, 2025;

17 **WHEREAS**, this is the first stipulation to extend the time for Regis to respond to Plaintiff’s  
18 First Amended Complaint;

19 **WHEREAS**, the Parties believe these circumstances constitute good cause for granting an  
20 extension.

21 **NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between Plaintiff and  
22 Defendant that Defendant Regis Corporation shall file an answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s  
23 First Amended Complaint by **October 27, 2025**.

1 Dated: September 29, 2025

2 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

3 By /s/ Patricia M. Jeng

4 JOY SIU

5 PATRICIA M. JENG

6 (Pro Hac Vice)

7 SAMI HASAN

8 (Pro Hac Vice)

9 SHAYLA M. GRIFFIN

10 (Pro Hac Vice)

11 Attorneys for Defendant  
12 REGIS CORPORATION

13 Dated: September 29, 2025

14 By /s/ Rachel Mariner

15 Rachel Mariner

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff

17 ANGELETTA PAIGE

18 **ORDER**

19 Under Local Rule 7-1(c), a stipulation that has been signed by fewer than all the parties or their  
20 attorneys will be treated - and must be filed - as a joint motion. The Court thus treats this filing as  
21 a joint motion and will expect that future filings follow Local Rule 7-1(c). The Court GRANTS  
22 the joint motion (ECF No. 28).

23 DATED: 10/1/2025

24 

25 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS

26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE